APPENDIX "C"

Faculty Peer Assessment Instrument

(Faculty)

Name of Faculty (Being Evaluated):	Department/College
Please circle the number that corresponds to yo to the	
following items:	
1. Participates highly in:	
a) Instruction	
Strongly Disagree123456	7Strongly Agree
b) Extension	
Strongly Disagree123456	7Strongly Agree
c) Production	
Strongly Disagree123456	7Strongly Agree
d) Research	
Strongly Disagree123456	7Strongly Agree
Joins social activities that promote camarader	ie and teamwork in the workplace.
Strongly Disagree123456	
3. Attends office meetings regularly.	
Strongly Disagree123456	7Strongly Agree
 Needs to be always reminded on the objective 	
Strongly Disagree1234567	
5. Involves in any irregular transactions/activities	
a) Financial matters	moral megrity on:

b) Social affairs Strongly Disagree--1--2--3--4--5--6--7--Strongly Agree 6. Reminds colleagues of the goals of the team. Strongly Disagree---1---2---3---4---5---6---7---Strongly Agree 7. Demonstrates positive attitude towards work. Strongly Disagree---1---2---3---4---5---6---7---Strongly Agree 8. Performs skills, other than but related to teaching. Strongly Disagree---1---2---3---4---5---6---7---Strongly Agree 9. Demonstrates competence in his/her field of expertise. Strongly Disagree--1--2--3--4--5--6--7--Strongly Agree 10. Can work effectively with the team. Strongly Disagree--1--2--3---4--5--6--7--Strongly Agree 11. Produces expected team output on time. Strongly Disagree---1---2---3---4---5---6---7---Strongly Agree Observed or known behavioral patterns other than the items mentioned in this instrument: Peer Evaluator : ______Dept./College ______Date: _____ Evaluator's Signature:____

Strongly Disagree---1---2---3---4---5---6---7---Strongly Agree

Coding:

The scores equivalent: This also applies in MODE central tendency analysis.

- 1- 86% probable that this person does not represent the statement.
 The faculty member is most of the time not a representation of this item.
- 2- 71% probable that this person does not represent the statement. The faculty member is frequently not a representation of this item.
- 3- 57% probable that this person does not represent the statement.
 The faculty member is sometimes not a representation of this item.
- 4- 43% probable that this person does not represent the statement. The faculty member is relatively not a representation of this item.
- 5- 29% probable that this person does not represent the statement. The faculty member is barely not a representation of this item.
- 6- 14% probable that this person does not represent the statement. The faculty member is rarely not a representation of this item.
- 7- 0.0% probable that this person does not represent the statement. The statement is true most of the time to the person evaluated.

Recommendation: Needs to call the attention of the Faculty

Positively worded statement - Reprimands and/or demerits

Negatively worded statement -Appreciations and/or rewards

Coding when scores are averaged

- 13-30 Faculty member has very unsatisfactory rating.
- 31-46 Faculty member has unsatisfactory rating.
- 47-62 Faculty member has satisfactory rating.
- 63-78 Faculty member has a very satisfactory rating.
- 79-91 Outstanding

References:

Ohland, Mattew L., et. al. Developing a Peer Evaluation Instrument that is

simple, reliable, and valid. American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exposition. American Society for Engineering Education. 2005.

Bucci, Hillary P. The value of Likert Scales in Measuring Attitudes of Online Learners. 2003. http://www.hkadesigns.co.uk/websites/msc/reme/likert.htm

Supervisor Evaluation of Faculty Performance

Name of Faculty (Being Evaluated):	Department/College
Please circle the number that corresponds to your obs following items:	servation about your peer according to the
1. Prepares project/ program proposals for departmen	t, college and /or University concerning:
a) Instruction	
Strongly Disagree12345-	67Strongly Agree
b) Extension	
Strongly Disagree12345-	67Strongly Agree
c) Production	
Strongly Disagree12345-	67Strongly Agree
d) Research	
Strongly Disagree12345-	67Strongly Agree
2. Participate in activities like anniversaries and simila	r celebrations at.
a) Department Level	
Strongly Disagree12345	-67Strongly Agree
b) College Level	
Strongly Disagree12345	-67Strongly Agree
c) University Level	
Strongly Disagree12345	-67Strongly Agree
3. Attends meetings regularly.	
Strongly Disagree1234567	Strongly Agree
4. Involves in any irregular transactions/activities that ta	
a) Financial matters	
Strongly Disagree12345	67Strongly Agree

b) Social affairs		
Strongly Disagree1234	567Strongly	Agree
5. Can work independently with minimal supervisi	ion.	
Strongly Disagree123456-	7Strongly Agree	
6. Shows tolerance and respect on others' views, i	deas, and opinions.	
Strongly Disagree123456	-7Strongly Agree	
7. Demonstrates positive attitude towards work.		
Strongly Disagree123456	-7Strongly Agree	
8. Performs skills, other than but related to teaching	g.	
Strongly Disagree123456	-7Strongly Agree	
9. Demonstrates competence in his/her field of exp	pertise.	
Strongly Disagree123456	-7Strongly Agree	
10. Can work effectively in group assignments.		
Strongly Disagree123456	-7Strongly Agree	
11. Produces expected team output on time.		
Strongly Disagree123456	7Strongly Agree	
Observed or known behavioral patterns other than instrument:	the items mentioned in	this
Supervisor Evaluator:	Dept./College_	Date:

Evaluator's Signature:



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MINDANAO

Kabacan, Cotabato Philippines

FACULTY PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (STUDENT)

Faculty Code Number	Semester/Year	Subject	
Answer each of the following items as he to your answer choice of assessment as	onestly as possible. Encircle the either.	number at the right column. corr	esponding
4 = SA = Strongly Agree 3 = A = Agree 2 = D = Disagree 1 = SD = Strongly Disagree Important:	= This statement is = This statement is	true most of the time. true to some extend not true to some extent. not true most of the time.	
Re honest in your answer.			1-
2 Results of this evaluation w	ill be given to your professor/in	structor only after the semester en	nds.
3 Do not write any identifying	mark in this questionnaire tha	t would reveal your identity.	

No.	Item	No.		SA	Α	D	SD
1	Introduces the topic objectives clearly	1		4	3	2	1
2	Demonstrates fairness in dealing with students.	2		4	3	2	1
3	The instructor/Professor has never been late in the class.	3		4	3	2	1
4	Gives clear test instructions for me to answer test independently	4		4	3	2	1
5	Gives the students the opportunity to participate in the class discussion.	5		4	3	2	1
6	Dismisses the class earlier by 15 minutes or more, before the required time.	6		4	3	2	1
7	Shows expertise of the subject-matter/course.	7		4	3	2	1
8	Explain course outline at the beginning of the semester.	8		4	3	2	1
9	Gives topic examples which are highly related to the lessons being discussed	9		4	3	2	1
10	Introduces different/various learning exercise related to the subject matter.	10		4	3	2	1
11	Gives problem solving activities related to the topics being discussed.	11		4	3	2	1
12	Explains the lessons all the time without student participation.	12		4	3	2	1
13	Allocates time for course/topic consultation during vacant period/s.	13		4	3	2	1
14	Uses supplemental learning materials in order to increase learning opportunity.	14		4	3	2	1
15	Demonstrates lesser confidence in teaching the subject/topic.	15		4	3	2	1
16	Informs students of the results of their quizzes and exams not later than two	16		4	3	2	1
17	Has the tendency to shift from the topics and discuss unimportant issues	17		4	3	2	1
18	Most of the time the instructor/professor us absent in the class.	18		4	3	2	1
19	Summarize the main ideas in the lessons and discussions.	19		4	3	2	1
19	Julillianze the man lace		0	VS	S	P	VP
20	All things considered what is your over –all rating for the faculty's performance as University Lecture in this subject/course?	20	5	4	3	2	1
	for question 20: 5=Outstanding 4=Very Satisfactory 3=Satisfactory 2=Poor 1=Very Poor						

Comments:	
	_



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MINDANAO

Kabacan, Cotabato Philippines

SUBJECT INSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE RATING

e Topic Objectives airness en late structions ge participation indertime the course well s course outline es related to lessons				
en late structions ge participation ndertime the course well s course outline				
ge participation ndertime the course well s course outline				
ge participation ndertime he course well s course outline				
he course well				
he course well				4
s course outline				
e related to leave				
related to lessons				
ce additional exercises				
ster problem solving				
ot encourage class participation				
me for consultation				
arious learning materials				
naster in the course				
s quiz results in less than 2 week	s			
s irrelevant issues				
absent in the class				
arizes the lessons				
al appraisal of the Faculty				
	arious learning materials baster in the course s quiz results in less than 2 week s irrelevant issues absent in the class arizes the lessons	arious learning materials aster in the course a quiz results in less than 2 weeks s irrelevant issues absent in the class arizes the lessons	aster in the course s quiz results in less than 2 weeks s irrelevant issues absent in the class	arious learning materials aster in the course a quiz results in less than 2 weeks s irrelevant issues absent in the class arizes the lessons



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN MINDANAO

Kabacan, Cotabato Philippines

SUBJECT INSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE RATING

COMMENTS:	
1	
2	
5	
7	
8	
10	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	